Management's Discussion and Analysis

For the period ended June 30, 2005

Management's Discussion and Analysis, page 1

For the period from January 1 to June 30, 2005

The following discussion and analysis has been prepared by management and reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors of the general partner of Cervus LP ("the LP"). The discussion and analysis has been prepared as of August 29, 2005.

This management and discussion analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2005. The unaudited consolidated financial statements and financial data contained in the MD&A have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

This MD&A may contain forward-looking information that involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. For this purpose, any statements herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to: general economic conditions, industry conditions, commodity prices, currency fluctuations and competition from other agricultural equipment dealers.

The LP is in the business of acquiring and operating authorized John Deere Limited dealerships selling John Deere agricultural and grounds care equipment. Currently, the LP operates eleven locations in Western Canada.

Commencement of operations

On March 14, 2003, Cervus Corporation announced the creation of a limited partnership to be known as Cervus LP and entered into an arrangement agreement with Cervus LP. Pursuant to the agreement, the dealerships in Calgary, Ponoka, Stettler and Trochu transferred their net dealership assets to Cervus LP in exchange for the promissory notes, limited partnership units and fixed value limited partnership units on April 30, 2003.

On closing of the arrangement, each common shareholder of Cervus Corporation received one limited partnership unit of Cervus LP for each common share held. Cervus Corporation distributed the limited partnership units to its shareholders via a dividend. Cervus Corporation is the general partner of Cervus LP.

On January 1, 2004, the LP acquired a 59% interest in Greenline Equipment Ltd. from Cervus Corporation in exchange for a promissory note. Greenline Equipment had locations in Moosomin - SK, Wawota - SK and Russell - MB.

Revenue

Revenue was \$49.6 million for second quarter ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$41.8 million a year ago, an increase of \$7.8 million. During Q1, pending sales were delayed as a result of factory delays from component shortages. Therefore, some equipment sold for anticipated delivery in Q1 was actually delivered in Q2 of this year. Sales mix between equipment and parts and service sales are

Management's Discussion and Analysis, page 2

For the period from January 1 to June 30, 2005

consistent between Q2 2005 and Q2 2004. In the current year, new and used equipment sales were \$41.3 million representing 83 percent of sales compared to \$34.6 million representing 83 percent of sales in the same period last year. Parts and service sales were \$8.0 million representing 16 percent of sales compared to \$6.9 million representing 16 percent of sales for the comparative period.

Six months year to date, revenue was \$69.3 million compared to \$62.3 million a year ago, an increase of \$7.0 million. The increase in sales is due to increased optimism amongst our customers. Most areas that our dealerships are located in are anticipating bumper crops compounded with a later than average harvest. In addition, the US has re-opened the border to cattle shipments. The sales mix between equipment and parts and service sales has remained consistent for the comparative periods. In the current year, new and used equipment sales were \$55.8 million representing 81 percent of sales compared to \$50.6 million representing 81 percent of sales in the same period last year. Parts and service sales were \$13.2 million representing 19 percent of sales compared to \$11.3 million representing 18 percent of sales for the comparative period.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales were \$42.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$35.4 million for the comparative period. Year to date, cost of sales were \$58.3 million compared to \$52.8 million a year ago. Both increases are consistent with the increase in sales activity.

Gross Profit Margins

Year to date, the LP's overall gross profit margin was 15.9 percent of sales for June 30, 2005 compared to 15.3 percent for the same period last year. These are within normal expectations and tend to vary slightly by sales mix changes between wholegoods sales, rentals, parts and service.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Year to date selling, general and administrative expenses were \$9.0 million compared with \$7.6 million, an increase of \$1.4 million. As a percentage of sales selling, general and administrative expenses were 13.0 percent year to date compared 12.1 percent a year ago. The increase is due to the reorganization costs of the five Alberta stores into single operating entity known as Agro. Selling, general and administrative expenses have also increased proportionally to the increase in sales.

Interest

Year to date, interest expense was \$0.4 million compared to \$0.6 million in the same period last year. As a percentage of revenue, interest expense decreased for the period to 0.6 percent compared with 0.9 percent for the comparative period prior year. The decrease is due to the repayment of notes payable to Cervus Corporation by \$2.6 million. At June 30, 2005 notes payable were \$6.9 million compared to \$9.5 million at June 30, 2004.

Depreciation

Year to date, depreciation expense has remained consistent at \$0.1 million compared to \$0.1 million for the comparative period in 2004.

Management's Discussion and Analysis, page 3

For the period from January 1 to June 30, 2005

Income Taxes

Income taxes are the responsibility of the individual partners except for the LP's subsidiary Questus and its subsidiary corporations.

Equity Earnings on Investment

Equity earnings on investments represents earnings on Deer Star Systems Inc., an Alberta based company, 101034350 Saskatchewan Ltd. operating Green-Trac Spraymasters, and Greenway Sprayers. The LP owns 27 percent, 33 percent and 19 percent of these three entities respectively. All three companies retail John Deere self propelled sprayers. The income from these investments was \$0.2 million year to date compared to \$0.2 million in the prior.

Net earnings

Net earnings for the period were \$1.7 million. On a per unit basis for the year, the basic earnings were \$0.40 per unit and compared to basic earnings of \$0.35 per unit for the last fiscal year. The weighted average units outstanding totaled 4.1 million units for the period.

Quarterly Information

	2005		2004				2003	
	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3
Revenue (thousands \$)	49,582	19,747	31,684	47,681	41,754	20,498	18,959	22,990
Net Earnings (Loss) (thousands \$)	2,274	(596)	496	1,890	1,684	(369)	(80)	1,433
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share	0.55	(0.15)	0.12	0.50	0.45	(0.10)	(0.02)	0.39
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share	0.53	(0.14)	0.09	0.50	0.45	(0.10)	(0.02)	0.38
Shares Outstanding (thousands)	4,207	4,051	4,017	3,917	3,917	3,702	3,702	3,702
Fully Diluted Shares (thousands)	4,333	4,138	4,159	4,059	3,917	3,917	3,917	3,917

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows from Operations

During the first six months of fiscal 2005, operating activities used \$2.3 million of cash. The income from operations of \$1.7 million was offset by increased investments in working capital of \$3.9 million.

Cash Flows from Financing

During the first six months of fiscal 2005, financing activities used \$0.5 million of cash for the year on an overall basis. Cash from financing included \$1.2 million from the exercise of unit options and purchase of limited partnership units under the DRIP plan. In addition, the partnership obtained long term debt of \$0.2 million for fixed asset purchases.

Management's Discussion and Analysis, page 4

For the period from January 1 to June 30, 2005

Cash used for financing activities included \$2.0 million cash distributions to unitholders as result of the \$0.08 per unit per month distributions paid during period which aggregates to total distributions of \$0.48 per unit for the six months ended.

Cash Flows from Investing

During the first six months of fiscal 2005, investing activities used \$0.3 million for the year on an overall basis. Cash used in investing activities included \$0.2 million of fixed assets for the year.

Line of Credit, Liquidity and Debt

As of June 30, 2005, the LP had working capital of \$13.2 million, an increase of \$0.9 million from December 31, 2004. The working capital ratio decreased slightly at 1.4 to 1 at June 30, 2005 compared 1.7 to 1 at December 31, 2004.

The LP has a \$5 million credit operating facility with its bank secured by current assets. At June 30, 2005, the LP had \$3.2 million drawn on this line leaving \$1.8 million available for operating purposes.

Long-term liabilities include unsecured and subordinated notes payable to the general partner of \$6.9 million. The notes are payable in five year terms. The notes payable require quarterly interest payments at bank prime rate. In addition, the LP owes \$0.9 million to other related companies that are unsecured and subordinated.

As of June 30, 2005, the LP's issued equity consisted of 4,207,227 units and 803,969 fixed value units.

Related Party Transactions with Cervus Corporation

Under the arrangement agreement and subsequent operational agreement between the LP and Cervus Corporation, Cervus Corporation is entitled to reimbursement for costs incurred as general partner. The agreement provides for an allocation of insurance costs, allocation of data services, guarantee fees based on 3% of the guarantee amounts to John Deere payable to either Cervus Corporation or the individual providing the guarantees, interest on any overdraft balances, interest on any outstanding indebtedness, building lease charges based on 1% per month of the fair market value of the property, and other direct expenses reimbursable with no handling fees or markup.

Management's Discussion and Analysis, page 5

For the period from January 1 to June 30, 2005

During the period from January 1, 2005 to June 30, 2005, the LP had the following transactions relating to the above agreement with Cervus Corporation:

	2005	2004
Equipment and real estate rentals Interest on notes payable Interest on fixed value units Monthly management fees Guarantee fees General partner profit allocation	\$ 423,702 154,911 20,100 - 72,750 16,774	\$ 402,162 204,444 20,100 169,625 48,750 13,821
	\$ 688,237	\$ 858,902

Other Related Party Transactions

During 2005, the LP paid limited partnership unit holders the following:

	2005		2004
Guarantee fees	78,000		57,750
Equipment and real estate rental	180,618		180,618
Management fees paid to companies controlled by two			
dealership managers	233,965		107,800
Interest on notes payable to companies controlled by two			
dealership managers	10,100		10,100
	\$ 502,683	\$	356,268

Future Accounting Standards

The CICA issued Accounting Guideline 15 – "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities". The Guideline requires enterprises to identify VIEs in which they have an interest, determine whether they are the primary beneficiary of such entities, and, if so, to consolidate them. This guideline has no impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Business Risks and Uncertainties

Authorized John Deere dealerships sell agricultural, lawn and garden and commercial products. The majority of sales are derived from the agricultural sector. Consequently, grain and livestock prices, weather conditions, Canadian vs. U.S. currency exchange rates, interest rates, disease, Canadian and U.S. government trade policies and customer confidence have an impact on demand for equipment, parts and service.

The retail farm equipment industry is very competitive. The LP faces a number of competitors, including other "in-line" John Deere dealerships and other competitors including authorized Agco,

Management's Discussion and Analysis, page 6

For the period from January 1 to June 30, 2005

Case, Caterpillar, Kubota and New Holland dealerships that may be located in communities of the LP's dealerships or are located in surrounding communities to the LP's dealerships. Although competition can be strong, there are a number of factors which allow the LP to compete throughout its market areas: ability to complete large deals with large customers due to the LP's financial strength, its dealership locations are located in key centers such Calgary and Saskatoon and its dealerships are primarily located in higher rainfall, more productive farming areas of western Canada.

The LP is dependent on the market acceptance of John Deere's products. Presently, Deere & Company has a reputation for the manufacture and delivery of high quality, competitively priced products with excellent brand recognition and customer support. John Deere has the largest market share of manufacturing and sales of farm equipment in North America. There can be no assurance that John Deere will continue to manufacture high quality, competitively priced products or maintain its market share in the future.

The success of each dealership is largely dependent on the performance of key employees. Failure of the LP to retain key employees or attract additional key employees with the necessary skills may have a material adverse effect on the growth and profitability of the LP.

The LP acts as a sales agent for the lease of certain equipment by customers from Deere Credit. Under the terms of its agreement with Deere Credit, the LP is obligated to purchase the equipment leased to customers by Deere Credit at the end of the lease term. Deere Credit is obligated to finance these future purchases under dealer floor plan arrangements.

Presently, the agreement with John Deere also provides an arrangement under which John Deere Limited can terminate a John Deere dealership owned by the LP if such dealership fails to maintain certain performance and equity covenants as agreed to by John Deere Limited and the LP. Each contract also provides a one year remedy period whereby the LP has one year to restore any deficiencies to a dealership contract. There can be no guarantee that John Deere Limited will provide its consent to John Deere dealership acquisitions and there can be no guarantee that circumstances will not arise which give John Deere Limited the right to terminate John Deere dealership contracts owned by the LP. To date the LP has maintained a good relationship with John Deere and management expects this will continue going forward.

Outlook

There is much more optimism among our customers with a great crop waiting to be harvested and the borders now open again for live cattle shipments to the United States. This optimism has translated into strong second quarter results and continues to generate revenues above our initial expectations. Grain prices continue to be stubbornly low, but yield and quality look to be slightly above average for this year. Eastern Saskatchewan has suffered from the excessive rainfall that Manitoba as experienced this year, so crops there may be below average. Our cattle customers are relieved to have a U.S. source for their product, but it will take several months, if not years, to rebuild the infrastructure required to get shipments back to their previous levels. Our inventory levels are higher than normal because of higher anticipated sales and by year end should be back to more

Management's Discussion and Analysis, page 7

For the period from January 1 to June 30, 2005

normal levels. The partnership continues to expect growth in revenues and profitability and intends to maintain its monthly distributions.

SEDAR

Additional information about the LP may be found on the sedar website at www.sedar.com